



Article

Urbanization in Australia: Coastal Growth, Climate Vulnerability, and Ethical Legal Responses to Environmental Change

Emma Wilson*

Faculty of Law, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the ethical dimensions of coastal urbanization in Australia, focusing on climate justice, intergenerational equity, and the protection of vulnerable communities. It analyzes the effectiveness of Australia's legal frameworks, including federal environmental laws and state-based planning regulations, in addressing climate change risks in urban areas. Through case studies of Sydney and Brisbane, supplemented by examples from Perth and Melbourne, the paper identifies gaps in current approaches and proposes ethics-based legal reforms to promote resilient and sustainable coastal cities.

Keywords: Australia; Urbanization; Coastal Cities; Climate Change; Environmental Ethics; Legal Frameworks; Climate Justice; Intergenerational Equity

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Emma Wilson, Faculty of Law, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia, Email: emma.wilson@sydney.edu.au

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 8 May 2025 | Revised: 16 May 2025 | Accepted: 19 May 2025 | Published Online: 13 June 2025

CITATION

Emma Wilson. 2025. Urbanization in Australia: Coastal Growth, Climate Vulnerability, and Ethical Legal Responses to Environmental Change. *Environmental Ethics & Law*. 1(1): 30-.

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2025 by the author(s). Published by Zhongyu International Education Centre. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Australia's urbanization pattern is uniquely defined by its coastal orientation, a phenomenon shaped by both geographical and historical forces. Approximately 85% of Australians reside within 50 kilometers of the coast, a statistic that underscores the country's status as one of the most coastal-urbanized nations globally (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2023). This concentration is not merely a matter of proximity to the sea but a reflection of deep-rooted economic, social, and cultural ties to coastal regions. Major cities such as Sydney, Melbourne, Brisbane, Perth, and Adelaide have all developed around coastal hubs, with their economies historically reliant on port activities, tourism, and maritime industries.

The historical drivers of this coastal concentration are multifaceted. European settlement in Australia, which began in the late 18th century, was centered around coastal ports to facilitate trade and transportation. Over time, these initial settlements expanded into sprawling urban centers, drawing migrants from rural areas and overseas with the promise of employment opportunities and a high quality of life. The allure of coastal lifestyles—characterized by access to beaches, milder climates, and recreational activities—has further entrenched this pattern, with internal migration trends consistently favoring coastal regions over inland areas (Productivity Commission, 2022).

However, this heavy reliance on coastal urban areas has rendered Australia increasingly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has projected that global sea levels could rise by up to 1 meter by 2100, with even more significant increases possible if greenhouse gas emissions continue unchecked (IPCC, 2022). For Australia, this translates to heightened risks of coastal inundation, erosion, storm surges, and saltwater intrusion into freshwater systems. These risks are compounded by the increased frequency and intensity of extreme weather events, such as tropical cyclones and heavy rainfall, which have already

caused significant damage to coastal communities in recent years.

The ethical implications of these challenges are profound and far-reaching. Climate justice demands that the burdens of climate change adaptation and mitigation are distributed equitably across society, yet current trends suggest that vulnerable communities—including low-income households, Indigenous peoples, and elderly populations—are disproportionately affected by climate risks. Intergenerational equity, too, is a pressing concern, as decisions made today about urban development in coastal areas will have lasting consequences for future generations, who may be forced to bear the costs of relocating or protecting infrastructure built in high-risk zones.

Australia's federal system of government adds a layer of complexity to addressing these issues, with responsibility for environmental protection and urban planning shared between the federal government, states, territories, and local councils. This fragmentation has, at times, hindered coordinated action, with policies and regulations varying significantly across jurisdictions. While some states have taken proactive steps to integrate climate change considerations into planning frameworks, others have faced political pressure to prioritize short-term economic growth over long-term resilience.

This paper examines the intersection of urbanization, climate change, environmental ethics, and law in Australia's coastal cities. It begins by exploring the ethical dimensions of coastal urbanization in greater depth, with a focus on climate justice, intergenerational equity, and the protection of coastal ecosystems. It then provides a detailed analysis of Australia's legal framework, highlighting both its strengths and weaknesses in addressing climate change risks. Through extended case studies of Sydney and Brisbane, as well as shorter examples from Perth and Melbourne, the paper illustrates the practical challenges of implementing climate-resilient urban planning. Finally, it proposes a set of ethics-based legal reforms designed to promote more

sustainable and equitable coastal urbanization in the face of climate change.

2. Ethical Dimensions of Coastal Urbanization in Australia

2.1 Climate Justice and Vulnerable Communities

Climate justice is a multifaceted concept that encompasses distributive justice, procedural justice, and recognition justice. In the Australian context, distributive justice requires that the benefits and burdens of climate change adaptation and mitigation are shared equitably, while procedural justice demands that all communities have a voice in decision-making processes that affect their lives. Recognition justice, meanwhile, emphasizes the importance of acknowledging and respecting the diverse needs, rights, and knowledge systems of different groups, particularly Indigenous communities.

Low-income households in coastal areas are among the most vulnerable to climate change impacts. Many live in older, less resilient housing stock located in low-lying areas, where they face increased risks of flooding and inundation. These households often lack the financial resources to invest in adaptation measures, such as raising floor levels or installing flood barriers, and may struggle to relocate to safer areas due to high housing costs in more elevated regions. For example, in Brisbane's outer suburbs of Logan and Ipswich, which have significant low-income populations, the 2011 and 2022 floods caused widespread damage to homes and infrastructure, with many residents unable to afford insurance or repairs (Brisbane City Council, 2021).

Indigenous communities in Australia have a unique and profound connection to coastal lands, which are central to their cultural identity, spiritual practices, and economic livelihoods. Climate change poses a direct threat to these connections, with rising sea levels and erosion threatening to displace communities and destroy sacred sites. The Torres

Strait Islands, for instance, are home to approximately 4,500 Indigenous people, many of whom face the prospect of becoming Australia's first climate refugees. Saltwater intrusion has already contaminated freshwater supplies, and erosion has destroyed homes and cultural sites, with some islands projected to be uninhabitable within decades (Australian Human Rights Commission, 2021).

Despite being among the least responsible for greenhouse gas emissions, Indigenous communities often lack meaningful participation in climate change decision-making processes. This represents a failure of procedural justice, as their traditional knowledge and perspectives—which could contribute significantly to effective adaptation strategies—are frequently marginalized. For example, Indigenous rangers in northern Australia have developed sophisticated approaches to managing coastal ecosystems based on millennia of observation, yet these practices are rarely integrated into formal planning frameworks (North Australian Indigenous Land and Sea Management Alliance, 2022).

Elderly populations in coastal areas also face unique vulnerabilities. Many older Australians live in retirement communities located close to the coast, attracted by the lifestyle and climate. However, these communities often lack the infrastructure and support systems to cope with extreme weather events. During heatwaves, which are becoming more frequent and intense due to climate change, elderly residents are at increased risk of heat-related illness, particularly if they live in poorly ventilated homes without air conditioning. In the event of a flood or storm surge, mobility issues may prevent them from evacuating safely, highlighting the need for age-specific adaptation measures (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2022).

2.2 Intergenerational Equity and Coastal Development

Intergenerational equity requires that current generations act as stewards of the environment, ensuring that future generations inherit a world that is

at least as capable of meeting their needs as the one we enjoy today. In the context of Australian coastal urbanization, this principle demands that we carefully consider the long-term climate risks of current development decisions.

One of the most pressing concerns is the continued construction of housing and infrastructure in areas that are projected to be vulnerable to sea-level rise and flooding in the coming decades. In Brisbane's Moreton Bay region, for example, developers are building new suburbs on low-lying land that is expected to be inundated by 2100 under moderate sea-level rise scenarios. While these developments provide much-needed housing in the short term, they will likely require massive public investment in protective infrastructure such as seawalls, or eventual managed retreat, diverting resources from other critical needs such as healthcare and education (Queensland Department of Environment and Science, 2022).

The economic costs of these decisions will be borne disproportionately by future generations. A 2021 report by the Climate Council estimated that climate change could cost Australia's coastal communities up to \$100 billion by 2100, with much of this expenditure related to retrofitting or relocating infrastructure built in high-risk areas (Climate Council, 2021). This represents a significant intergenerational transfer of costs, as current generations benefit from the economic activity generated by new developments, while future generations are left to foot the bill for their protection or removal.

Intergenerational equity also demands that we consider the non-economic impacts of current development decisions. Coastal ecosystems provide a range of cultural, recreational, and aesthetic values that enrich the lives of Australians. By destroying these ecosystems to make way for urban development, we are depriving future generations of these benefits. For example, the loss of mangrove forests in Sydney's Botany Bay not only reduces coastal protection and carbon sequestration but also eliminates opportunities for future generations to engage with and learn from

these unique ecosystems (Sydney Coastal Councils Group, 2020).

The concept of intergenerational equity is particularly relevant to Australia's approach to infrastructure planning. Many of the roads, bridges, and buildings being constructed today are designed to have lifespans of 50 to 100 years, yet they are often not built to withstand the climate conditions expected to prevail during that time. A 2020 study by the Australian National University found that nearly 40% of Australia's coastal road network is at risk of being damaged or destroyed by sea-level rise by 2100, with replacement costs estimated at \$8 billion (Australian National University, 2020). This suggests that current infrastructure investments are not aligned with intergenerational equity principles, as future generations will be forced to bear the costs of replacing or relocating these assets.

2.3 Protection of Coastal Ecosystems

Coastal ecosystems are among the most biologically diverse and ecologically valuable systems on the planet, and Australia is home to some of the world's most unique and important examples. Mangroves, salt marshes, seagrass meadows, and coral reefs provide a range of ecosystem services that are critical to both human well-being and the health of the planet. However, coastal urbanization is placing increasing pressure on these ecosystems, raising important ethical questions about our responsibility to protect them.

Mangrove forests, which line much of Australia's tropical and subtropical coasts, provide a range of critical services. They act as natural buffers against storm surges and erosion, reducing the impact of extreme weather events on coastal communities. A 2019 study estimated that mangroves in northern Australia prevent approximately \$1.5 billion in annual flood damage (Australian Marine Conservation Society, 2021). Mangroves also sequester large amounts of carbon, with some estimates suggesting that they store up to five times more carbon per hectare than tropical rainforests. This makes them

valuable allies in the fight against climate change, yet they are being cleared at an alarming rate to make way for urban development, aquaculture, and other human activities.

Seagrass meadows, which are found in shallow coastal waters around Australia, are another important coastal ecosystem. They provide habitat for a range of marine species, including fish, crustaceans, and sea turtles, supporting commercial and recreational fisheries that are vital to many coastal communities. Seagrasses also play a key role in nutrient cycling and sediment stabilization, helping to maintain water quality and prevent erosion. However, coastal urbanization has led to significant losses of seagrass meadows, particularly in urban estuaries such as Sydney Harbour and Moreton Bay, where runoff from urban areas has caused water pollution and eutrophication (Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, 2022).

Coral reefs, including the Great Barrier Reef, are perhaps Australia's most iconic coastal ecosystems. They support incredible biodiversity, provide livelihoods for thousands of people through tourism and fishing, and protect coastal communities from erosion and storm surges. However, coral reefs are under threat from a range of climate change impacts, including ocean warming and acidification, as well as local pressures such as pollution and overfishing from coastal urban areas. The Great Barrier Reef has experienced five mass bleaching events since 2016, with significant portions of the reef suffering permanent damage (Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, 2022).

The ethical case for protecting these ecosystems extends beyond their instrumental value to humans. Many environmental ethicists argue that non-human species and ecosystems have intrinsic value, meaning they are valuable in and of themselves, regardless of their usefulness to humans. From this perspective, we have a moral obligation to protect coastal ecosystems simply because they exist and have a right to continue existing. This is particularly relevant in Australia, which is home to a large number of endemic

species—species found nowhere else on Earth—that depend on coastal ecosystems for their survival (World Wide Fund for Nature, 2021).

Indigenous Australians have a long-standing ethical relationship with coastal ecosystems, based on the principle of caring for Country. This involves recognizing that humans are part of a larger ecological community and that we have a responsibility to maintain the health and integrity of that community for future generations. Indigenous knowledge systems, which have evolved over thousands of years, contain valuable insights into how to manage coastal ecosystems sustainably. However, these knowledge systems are often marginalized in mainstream environmental management, representing a missed opportunity to integrate ethical perspectives that prioritize long-term ecological health (Australian Indigenous Governance Institute, 2022).

3. Legal Framework for Coastal Urbanization and Climate Change in Australia

3.1 Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (EPBC Act)

The Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act) is Australia's primary federal environmental law, designed to protect matters of national environmental significance, including threatened species and ecosystems, World Heritage properties, and Ramsar wetlands. The act establishes a regulatory framework for assessing and approving actions that are likely to have a significant impact on these matters, requiring proponents to obtain federal approval before proceeding with such actions.

One of the key mechanisms of the EPBC Act is the environmental impact assessment (EIA) process, which is required for major development projects that are likely to have a significant impact on matters of national environmental significance. The EIA process is intended to ensure that environmental

considerations are taken into account before decisions are made about whether to approve a project. However, the EPBC Act has been criticized for its failure to explicitly require consideration of climate change impacts, such as sea-level rise and increased flood risk, in the assessment process. This means that projects that may be vulnerable to climate change impacts, or that may contribute to greenhouse gas emissions, can be approved without a full assessment of their long-term sustainability (Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, 2021).

In 2020, the Australian Government commissioned an independent review of the EPBC Act, known as the Samuel Review, which found that the act was “not fit for purpose” and required significant reform. The review highlighted the need to better integrate climate change considerations into the act, recommending that the EPBC Act be amended to explicitly recognize climate change as a matter of national environmental significance. This would require that climate change impacts be considered in the assessment of all major development projects, including those in coastal areas (Samuel Review, 2020).

Despite these recommendations, as of 2023, no significant amendments have been made to the EPBC Act to address climate change. This has led to concerns that the act is failing to protect coastal communities and ecosystems from the impacts of climate change. For example, in 2022, a proposed development in Western Australia’s Kimberley region, which would involve clearing mangrove forests for a new port, was approved under the EPBC Act without a full assessment of the project’s vulnerability to sea-level rise or its contribution to climate change through the loss of carbon-sequestering mangroves (Australian Conservation Foundation, 2022).

Another limitation of the EPBC Act is its focus on individual projects rather than cumulative impacts. Coastal urbanization is often characterized by incremental development, with multiple small projects collectively having a significant impact on coastal

ecosystems and increasing climate vulnerability. However, the EPBC Act’s focus on individual projects means that these cumulative impacts are often not considered, leading to a piecemeal approach to environmental protection (Environment Centre of the Northern Territory, 2021).

3.2 State-Based Planning Laws

In Australia, responsibility for urban planning is primarily the domain of state and territory governments, resulting in a diverse range of approaches to addressing climate change in coastal areas. Each state and territory has its own planning legislation and policies, which vary in terms of how they integrate climate change considerations.

New South Wales has been at the forefront of integrating climate change into planning frameworks. The Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) requires local councils to prepare local environmental plans (LEPs) that set out land use zoning and development controls. In 2018, the New South Wales Government introduced the Coastal Management Act 2016, which establishes a comprehensive framework for managing coastal hazards, including sea-level rise and erosion. The act requires local councils to prepare coastal management plans that identify climate change risks and set out strategies to address them. These plans must be based on the best available scientific evidence, including sea-level rise projections, and must consider the needs of both current and future generations (New South Wales Department of Planning, Industry and Environment, 2022).

One of the strengths of New South Wales’ approach is its requirement for adaptive planning, recognizing that climate change projections are subject to uncertainty and that plans may need to be revised as new information becomes available. For example, the Sydney Coastal Councils Group has developed a regional coastal adaptation plan that includes a range of scenario-based strategies, allowing councils to respond flexibly as climate change impacts become more apparent (Sydney Coastal Councils

Group, 2020).

Queensland's approach to coastal planning is set out in the Planning Act 2016, which includes provisions for managing coastal hazards. The act requires local governments to prepare planning schemes that identify areas at risk of coastal hazards and implement appropriate development controls. Queensland has also developed detailed sea-level rise projections, which are updated regularly based on the latest scientific evidence, and requires these projections to be used in planning decisions. However, the implementation of these provisions has been inconsistent across the state, with some local councils facing political pressure to weaken development controls in high-risk areas (Queensland Government, 2020).

In Victoria, the Planning and Environment Act 1987 provides the legal framework for urban planning, with climate change considerations integrated through state planning policies. The Victorian Government has released a Coastal Climate Change Adaptation Plan, which sets out a strategic approach to addressing climate change impacts on coastal areas. However, unlike New South Wales and Queensland, Victoria does not have specific legislation dedicated to coastal management, which has led to a less coordinated approach to addressing climate change risks in coastal urban areas (Victorian Government, 2021).

Western Australia's planning system is governed by the Planning and Development Act 2005, which requires local governments to prepare town planning schemes that consider environmental factors, including climate change. The Western Australian Government has developed a State Coastal Planning Policy, which provides guidance on managing coastal hazards, but like Victoria, it lacks dedicated coastal management legislation. This has resulted in a patchwork of approaches across the state, with some local councils taking proactive steps to address climate change and others lagging behind (Western Australian Planning Commission, 2022).

One of the key challenges facing state-based planning systems is the tension between climate

change adaptation and economic development. In many coastal areas, property development is a major driver of the local economy, and there is often significant resistance to development controls that restrict building in high-risk areas. This resistance can come from developers, property owners, and even local governments, who may be concerned about the short-term economic impacts of such controls. For example, in 2021, the Gold Coast City Council in Queensland faced backlash from developers when it proposed to introduce stricter building controls in areas at risk of sea-level rise (Gold Coast Bulletin, 2021).

3.3 National Climate Adaptation Strategy

In 2021, the Australian Government released the National Climate Adaptation Strategy, which aims to coordinate Australia's approach to climate change adaptation across all levels of government, industry, and community. The strategy identifies six priority areas, including built environment and infrastructure, natural ecosystems, and human health, and sets out a range of actions to enhance Australia's adaptive capacity.

One of the key strengths of the National Climate Adaptation Strategy is its recognition of the importance of place-based adaptation, acknowledging that climate change impacts and adaptation needs vary across different regions. The strategy encourages collaboration between different levels of government and between government and non-government stakeholders, recognizing that effective adaptation requires a whole-of-society approach. For example, the strategy supports the development of regional adaptation plans, which bring together local governments, Indigenous communities, businesses, and community organizations to identify and address climate change risks (Australian Government Department of Industry, Science, Energy and Resources, 2021).

However, the National Climate Adaptation Strategy has several limitations. First, it is a non-

binding document, meaning that there is no legal requirement for governments or other stakeholders to implement its recommendations. This has led to concerns that the strategy will lack the necessary teeth to drive meaningful action, particularly in jurisdictions where climate change adaptation is not a political priority. Second, the strategy is not accompanied by significant new funding, relying instead on existing programs and initiatives. This has raised questions about how the strategy's ambitious goals will be achieved, particularly in regional and remote areas where resources are limited.

Another limitation of the National Climate Adaptation Strategy is its relatively narrow focus on adaptation, with less attention paid to the need for mitigation. While adaptation is essential to address the climate change impacts that are already locked in, reducing greenhouse gas emissions is also critical to limiting the severity of future impacts. The strategy acknowledges the importance of mitigation but does not provide a clear framework for integrating adaptation and mitigation efforts in the context of coastal urbanization.

The National Climate Adaptation Strategy also has been criticized for its lack of specific targets and timelines, making it difficult to measure progress or hold governments accountable. While the strategy identifies broad goals, such as "building resilient infrastructure" and "protecting natural ecosystems," it does not set out specific indicators or deadlines for achieving these goals. This lack of specificity has led to concerns that the strategy will not drive the urgent action needed to protect coastal communities from climate change impacts (Climate Action Network Australia, 2021).

4. Case Studies

4.1 Sydney: Coastal Development and Climate Risk

Sydney, Australia's largest city, is home to over 5 million people, with much of its urban fabric

extending along the coast and into the Sydney Harbour estuary. The city's coastal location has been central to its development, with iconic landmarks such as the Sydney Opera House and Harbour Bridge reflecting its maritime heritage. However, this coastal setting also makes Sydney highly vulnerable to climate change impacts, including sea-level rise, storm surges, and coastal erosion.

The Sydney Coastal Councils Group, which represents 15 local councils in the Sydney region, has identified over 15,000 properties that are at risk of inundation by 2100 under moderate sea-level rise scenarios (Sydney Coastal Councils Group, 2020). These properties are concentrated in low-lying areas such as the Georges River estuary, Botany Bay, and parts of the Northern Beaches. In addition to residential properties, critical infrastructure including roads, railways, and water treatment plants are also at risk, potentially disrupting essential services to large parts of the city.

The New South Wales Government has responded to these risks through the Coastal Management Act 2016, which requires local councils to prepare coastal management plans that identify climate change risks and set out adaptation strategies. The Sydney Coastal Councils Group has also developed a regional coastal adaptation plan, which provides a coordinated approach to addressing climate change impacts across the Sydney region. This plan includes a range of measures, such as upgrading coastal defenses, restoring natural ecosystems to provide coastal protection, and implementing land use planning controls to prevent new development in high-risk areas.

One example of innovative adaptation in Sydney is the "Living Seawalls" project, which is being trialed in Sydney Harbour. This project involves retrofitting existing seawalls with concrete tiles that are designed to mimic natural rocky shorelines, providing habitat for marine species while also enhancing the structural integrity of the seawalls. The project demonstrates how adaptation measures can provide both ecological and human benefits, contributing to climate resilience

while also enhancing biodiversity (Sydney Institute of Marine Science, 2022).

However, implementing climate adaptation measures in Sydney has not been without challenges. One of the most significant barriers is the high cost of adaptation, particularly for large-scale infrastructure projects. For example, the proposed seawall upgrades along parts of the Northern Beaches are estimated to cost over \$100 million, raising questions about how these costs will be funded and who will bear the burden. There is ongoing debate about whether these costs should be borne by property owners, local councils, or the state government, with implications for climate justice and intergenerational equity (Northern Beaches Council, 2021).

Another challenge is balancing the need for adaptation with other urban planning objectives, such as providing affordable housing and protecting cultural heritage. In some areas, implementing strict development controls to limit new development in high-risk areas has reduced the supply of new housing, exacerbating Sydney's housing affordability crisis. There is also concern that adaptation measures could damage important cultural heritage sites, such as Aboriginal middens and historic buildings, which are concentrated in coastal areas (Heritage NSW, 2022).

Political resistance to adaptation measures has also been a significant challenge in Sydney. In 2021, the Central Coast Council, which is part of the Sydney metropolitan area, proposed a coastal management plan that included restrictions on development in high-risk areas. This plan faced strong opposition from developers and some community groups, who argued that it would stifle economic growth and reduce property values. The council ultimately watered down the plan, highlighting the tension between short-term economic interests and long-term climate resilience (Central Coast Council, 2021).

4.2 Brisbane: Flood Risk and Urban Planning

Brisbane, the capital of Queensland, is located

on the Brisbane River, which flows into Moreton Bay. The city has a long history of flooding, with major flood events in 1974, 2011, and 2022 causing significant damage to homes, infrastructure, and businesses. Climate change is projected to increase the frequency and intensity of such events, with the Queensland Government estimating that the risk of major flooding in Brisbane could increase by up to 70% by the end of the century (Queensland Department of Environment and Science, 2022).

The 2011 Brisbane floods were among the most devastating natural disasters in Australian history, resulting in 33 deaths and over \$2 billion in damage. The floods affected over 20,000 properties, with many low-income households in suburbs such as Ipswich and Logan bearing the brunt of the damage. In response to this disaster, the Queensland Government implemented a range of reforms to improve flood risk management, including the Queensland Flood Mapping Program, which provides detailed flood risk information for the entire state.

The Queensland Planning Act 2016, which came into effect in 2017, includes provisions designed to reduce flood risk in urban areas. The act requires local governments to identify flood-prone areas in their planning schemes and implement development controls to limit new development in high-risk areas. It also requires that new buildings in flood-prone areas are designed to withstand flooding, with minimum floor levels set above the 1% annual exceedance probability (AEP) flood level.

Despite these reforms, Brisbane continues to face significant flood risk, as demonstrated by the 2022 floods, which caused widespread damage across the city. One of the key challenges is the continued expansion of urban development into flood-prone areas on the city's fringes. In the Moreton Bay region, for example, new housing estates are being built on low-lying land that is known to be vulnerable to flooding, raising concerns about the effectiveness of the planning controls implemented under the Planning Act 2016 (Brisbane City Council, 2021).

Another challenge is addressing the needs of

existing communities in flood-prone areas. Many low-income households in Brisbane live in older homes that were built before modern flood regulations, making them particularly vulnerable to flood damage. These households often lack the financial resources to relocate or retrofit their homes to improve flood resilience, highlighting the need for targeted support programs. The Queensland Government's Betterment Fund, which provides grants to help homeowners rebuild more resilient homes after a disaster, has been successful in some cases but is limited in scope and funding (Queensland Reconstruction Authority, 2022).

Indigenous communities in Brisbane and the surrounding region also face unique flood risks. The Jagera, Turrbal, and Yuggera peoples have lived along the Brisbane River and Moreton Bay for thousands of years, with strong cultural connections to these waterways. However, many Indigenous communities now live in urban areas that are vulnerable to flooding, and they often lack access to the same resources and support systems as non-Indigenous communities. During the 2022 floods, Indigenous community organizations played a critical role in providing emergency assistance, but there is a need for greater inclusion of Indigenous knowledge and perspectives in flood risk management planning (Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Community Health Service, 2022).

4.3 Perth and Melbourne: Emerging Challenges in Southern Coastal Cities

Perth, located on Western Australia's southwest coast, is facing unique climate change challenges, including declining rainfall and rising sea levels. The city's urban expansion has historically been directed towards the coast, with new suburbs continuing to be built in low-lying areas such as the Perth Metropolitan Region's northern and southern corridors. This development pattern has increased Perth's vulnerability to coastal hazards, with the Western Australian Planning Commission estimating that over 5,000 properties could be at risk of inundation by 2100 (Western Australian Planning Commission,

2022).

One of the key challenges in Perth is balancing urban growth with the protection of coastal ecosystems, such as the Swan Coastal Plain's wetlands and sand dunes. These ecosystems provide important habitat for native species and help to buffer coastal communities from erosion and storm surges. However, they are under increasing pressure from urban development, with significant areas cleared for housing and infrastructure. The Western Australian Government's State Coastal Planning Policy aims to protect these ecosystems, but implementation has been inconsistent, with some local councils prioritizing development over environmental protection (Western Australian Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage, 2021).

Melbourne, Australia's second-largest city, is located on Port Phillip Bay, with its urban area extending along the bay's shores and up the Yarra River. While Melbourne is not as immediately vulnerable to sea-level rise as Sydney or Brisbane, it faces significant climate change risks, including increased frequency of extreme heat events, heavier rainfall, and more intense storms. These risks are compounded by the city's sprawling urban form, which has led to increased reliance on private transport and reduced green space, exacerbating the urban heat island effect.

The Victorian Government's Coastal Climate Change Adaptation Plan acknowledges these risks and sets out a range of strategies to address them, including improving stormwater management, protecting and restoring coastal wetlands, and promoting more compact urban development. However, implementation of these strategies has been slow, with progress hampered by a lack of coordination between different levels of government and competing priorities (Victorian Government, 2021).

One innovative approach to climate adaptation in Melbourne is the Greenline project, which aims to transform the city's waterfront into a more resilient and accessible public space. The project includes

measures such as restoring natural shorelines, creating new parks and open spaces, and improving public transport access to coastal areas. By integrating climate adaptation with urban renewal, the Greenline project demonstrates how cities can become more resilient while also enhancing quality of life for residents (City of Melbourne, 2022).

5. Proposed Reforms

To address the ethical and practical challenges of coastal urbanization in Australia and build more resilient, equitable, and sustainable cities, a comprehensive package of legal reforms is needed. These reforms should be guided by the principles of climate justice, intergenerational equity, and ecological sustainability, and should address the limitations of Australia's current legal framework identified in this paper.

5.1 Strengthen Federal Environmental Law to Address Climate Change

The Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 should be amended to explicitly recognize climate change as a matter of national environmental significance. This would require that climate change impacts, including sea-level rise, increased flood risk, and ocean acidification, are considered in the environmental impact assessment of all major development projects, particularly those in coastal areas. The amended act should also require consideration of the greenhouse gas emissions associated with proposed projects, ensuring that development decisions contribute to Australia's mitigation goals.

To address the issue of cumulative impacts, the EPBC Act should be revised to require strategic environmental assessments of major urban growth areas, particularly along the coast. These assessments would consider the combined effects of multiple development projects on coastal ecosystems and climate vulnerability, providing a more holistic approach to environmental protection.

The federal government should also establish a National Coastal Adaptation Fund to provide financial support for climate adaptation projects in coastal areas. This fund could be used to support initiatives such as seawall upgrades, wetland restoration, and the relocation of critical infrastructure, with priority given to projects that benefit vulnerable communities and protect important ecological values.

5.2 Harmonize and Strengthen State Planning Laws

State and territory governments should work together to develop a set of national minimum standards for integrating climate change considerations into coastal planning. These standards should include requirements for:

The use of up-to-date sea-level rise projections and climate risk assessments in all planning decisions

The implementation of no-build zones in areas at high risk of climate change impacts

The incorporation of climate adaptation measures into all new development, including requirements for flood-resistant building design and the protection of natural coastal defenses

The development of regional coastal adaptation plans that coordinate action across local government boundaries

States and territories that meet or exceed these national standards should be eligible for additional funding from the National Coastal Adaptation Fund, providing an incentive for best practice.

To address the tension between development and climate resilience, state planning laws should be amended to require that the long-term costs of climate change adaptation are considered in development assessments. This could include requiring developers to contribute to a climate adaptation fund, which would be used to finance future adaptation measures in the area. Such a mechanism would help to ensure that the costs of development are borne by those who benefit from it, rather than being passed on to future generations.

5.3 Develop a Binding National Climate Adaptation Framework

The National Climate Adaptation Strategy should be replaced with a binding National Climate Adaptation Act, which would establish legal obligations for all levels of government to implement adaptation measures. This act should set out specific targets and timelines for adaptation, with a focus on protecting vulnerable communities and ecosystems.

The National Climate Adaptation Act should require the development of a National Coastal Adaptation Plan, which would coordinate action across jurisdictions to address climate change impacts on coastal areas. This plan should be reviewed and updated every five years, based on the latest scientific evidence, and should include provisions for managed retreat from areas that are no longer viable in the long term.

To ensure that adaptation efforts are funded adequately, the National Climate Adaptation Act should establish a dedicated Climate Adaptation Fund, with sufficient resources to support the implementation of the National Coastal Adaptation Plan and other adaptation initiatives. This fund could be financed through a combination of federal government contributions, a levy on coastal development, and revenue from carbon pricing mechanisms.

5.4 Enhance Climate Justice and Protect Vulnerable Communities

To promote climate justice, governments should develop a National Climate Justice Framework, which would require the identification of vulnerable communities and the development of targeted adaptation strategies to meet their needs. This framework should be enshrined in law, ensuring that climate justice considerations are integrated into all climate change policies and programs.

The framework should include provisions for:

Meaningful engagement of vulnerable communities, including Indigenous peoples, low-

income households, and elderly populations, in climate change decision-making processes

Targeted funding for adaptation measures in vulnerable communities, including retrofitting homes, improving access to emergency services, and creating cool spaces to mitigate heatwave impacts

Assistance for vulnerable households to relocate from high-risk areas, where this is necessary, including access to affordable housing in safer locations

The protection of Indigenous cultural heritage sites from climate change impacts, and the integration of Indigenous knowledge into adaptation planning

5.5 Protect and Restore Coastal Ecosystems

To recognize the intrinsic value of coastal ecosystems and their role in climate adaptation, the federal government should establish a National Coastal Ecosystem Protection Act. This act would strengthen protection for critical coastal ecosystems such as mangroves, salt marshes, and seagrass meadows, by:

Expanding the network of marine protected areas in coastal waters

Establishing strict limits on coastal development that would damage or destroy critical ecosystems

Requiring the restoration of degraded coastal ecosystems, with a focus on areas that provide important climate adaptation benefits

Recognizing and supporting Indigenous management of coastal ecosystems through traditional owner agreements and co-management arrangements

The act should also establish a Coastal Ecosystem Restoration Fund, which would provide financial support for ecosystem restoration projects, with priority given to projects that enhance climate resilience and support biodiversity conservation.

6. Conclusion

Australia's coastal urbanization in the context of climate change presents a complex web of ethical,

legal, and practical challenges. The concentration of population and economic activity in coastal areas, combined with the increasing risks of sea-level rise, extreme weather events, and ecosystem degradation, demands a fundamental rethinking of how we plan, develop, and manage our coastal cities.

This paper has argued that addressing these challenges requires a shift towards a more ethical approach to coastal urbanization—one that prioritizes climate justice, ensuring that the burdens of climate change are shared equitably; intergenerational equity, protecting the interests of future generations; and ecological sustainability, recognizing the intrinsic value of coastal ecosystems and their role in supporting human well-being.

Australia's current legal framework for coastal urbanization and climate change is inadequate to meet these challenges. While there are examples of good practice at both federal and state levels, the overall approach is fragmented, inconsistent, and often fails to integrate ethical considerations into decision-making. The Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act lacks explicit provisions for addressing climate change, state planning laws vary in their effectiveness, and the National Climate Adaptation Strategy is non-binding and underfunded.

The proposed reforms outlined in this paper—strengthening federal environmental law, harmonizing state planning frameworks, developing a binding national climate adaptation framework, enhancing climate justice, and protecting coastal ecosystems—represent a comprehensive approach to addressing these limitations. By embedding ethical principles into legal frameworks and creating stronger obligations for action, these reforms would help to ensure that Australia's coastal cities become more resilient, equitable, and sustainable.

Implementing these reforms will not be without challenges. It will require political will, financial investment, and a commitment to working collaboratively across all levels of government and with diverse stakeholders, including Indigenous communities, developers, and local residents.

However, the alternative—continuing with business as usual—risks leaving future generations with a legacy of vulnerable infrastructure, degraded ecosystems, and inequitable impacts.

Australia has the opportunity to lead the way in developing ethical, legally robust approaches to coastal urbanization in a changing climate. By seizing this opportunity, we can ensure that our coastal cities continue to be vibrant, liveable places for generations to come, while also protecting the unique natural values that make Australia's coasts so special.

References

- [1]Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Community Health Service. (2022). 2022 Brisbane floods: Indigenous community response and recovery. Brisbane: Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Community Health Service.
- [2]Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2023). Population distribution, Australia. Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics.
- [3]Australian Conservation Foundation. (2022). EPBC Act review: Opportunities for stronger climate protection. Melbourne: Australian Conservation Foundation.
- [4]Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment. (2021). Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999: Review and reform. Canberra: Australian Government.
- [5]Australian Government Department of Industry, Science, Energy and Resources. (2021). National climate adaptation strategy. Canberra: Australian Government.
- [6]Australian Human Rights Commission. (2021). Climate change and human rights in Australia: Vulnerable communities. Sydney: Australian Human Rights Commission.
- [7]Australian Indigenous Governance Institute. (2022). Indigenous environmental governance: Caring for Country. Adelaide: Australian Indigenous Governance Institute.

- [8] Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2022). Climate change and older Australians' health. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare.
- [9] Australian Marine Conservation Society. (2021). Coastal ecosystems and climate change: Protection and restoration. Brisbane: Australian Marine Conservation Society.
- [10] Australian National University. (2020). Climate change and Australia's coastal road network: Risk assessment. Canberra: Australian National University.
- [11] Brisbane City Council. (2021). Brisbane flood risk management strategy. Brisbane: Brisbane City Council.
- [12] Central Coast Council. (2021). Coastal management plan: Draft and final versions. Gosford: Central Coast Council.
- [13] City of Melbourne. (2022). Greenline project: Transforming Melbourne's waterfront. Melbourne: City of Melbourne.
- [14] Climate Action Network Australia. (2021). National climate adaptation strategy: Analysis and recommendations. Melbourne: Climate Action Network Australia.
- [15] Climate Council. (2021). Coastal planning and climate change: Australia's preparedness. Sydney: Climate Council.
- [16] Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation. (2022). Seagrass loss and recovery in Australian estuaries. Canberra: Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation.
- [17] Environment Centre of the Northern Territory. (2021). Cumulative impacts: A challenge for environmental protection in the NT. Darwin: Environment Centre of the Northern Territory.
- [18] Gold Coast Bulletin. (2021, May 15). Developers fight Gold Coast's new sea-level rise rules. Retrieved from <https://www.goldcoastbulletin.com.au/>
- [19] Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority. (2022). Great Barrier Reef condition report 2022. Townsville: Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority.
- [20] Heritage NSW. (2022). Coastal heritage and climate change: Management guidelines. Sydney: Heritage NSW.
- [21] IPCC. (2022). Sixth assessment report: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Geneva: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- [22] North Australian Indigenous Land and Sea Management Alliance. (2022). Indigenous coastal management: Traditional knowledge and climate adaptation. Darwin: North Australian Indigenous Land and Sea Management Alliance.
- [23] Northern Beaches Council. (2021). Coastal protection works: Cost-benefit analysis. Sydney: Northern Beaches Council.
- [24] Productivity Commission. (2022). Population, migration and urbanisation in Australia. Canberra: Productivity Commission.
- [25] Queensland Department of Environment and Science. (2022). Sea-level rise projections for Queensland. Brisbane: Queensland Department of Environment and Science.
- [26] Queensland Government. (2020). Planning Act 2016: Guide to coastal hazard management. Brisbane: Queensland Government.
- [27] Queensland Reconstruction Authority. (2022). Betterment Fund: Evaluation report. Brisbane: Queensland Reconstruction Authority.
- [28] Samuel Review. (2020). Independent review of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. Canberra: Australian Government.
- [29] Sydney Coastal Councils Group. (2020). Climate change and coastal risk in the Sydney region. Sydney: Sydney Coastal Councils Group.
- [30] Sydney Institute of Marine Science. (2022). Living Seawalls project: Evaluation report. Sydney: Sydney Institute of Marine Science.
- [31] Victorian Government. (2021). Coastal climate change adaptation plan. Melbourne: Victorian Government.